Previous references.

File No. Police 80 - 08

1908-9

Serial Nos. 1—2

(police)

Later references.

Pol 156-10
pol 192-14 (1-2).

(28)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 2

Part B. for March 1909.

SUBJECT.

Acceptance by Mr. S. M. Pritchard, Supt of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, of an honorarium of Rs 1500 offered by Messrs John Taylor & Sons, London.

Table of Contents-

From the I.G. of Police- No. 2798/280 of 23-11-08.

Requests sanction to the acceptance by Mr Pritchard of an honorarium of Rs 1500 offered by Messrs John Taylor & Sons for his services as Chief Superintendent of Watchmen in addition to his own duties as Supt of Police, K.G.F.

2 To the I.G. of Police, Head of Dept. & by Comm. of Ds.
No. J 2634-73 of 11.3.1909.

G. R. S. 58 Accords sanction to the acceptance of the honorarium referred to above by Mr Pritchard as a special case and directs that no Govt Officer should undertake additional duties for the benefit of private parties without the sanction of Govt & that Mr Pritchard should

G.R.S. 11] 1908.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 80708

FILE HEADING.

Acceptance by Mr. Pritchard, Police Supdt., K.G.F., of an honorarium of Rs 1500 offered by Messrs John Taylor and Sons.

From

No. Dated Received

Diary No. | Enclos. | Spare Copies | Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

26/3

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

Police file no 80 of 08-09



No official communication in the matter having been received a D.O. was written to the D.G. Police

Police file no. 80 708—09

Bangalore
2. 7. '08.

D.O. no J 33
dt 4.7.08

Dear Sir,

Signed by Mr C.S. Balasundaram Iyer

I am desired to request you to let me know from the K.G.F. Mining Board if any recommendation for remunerating Mr. S.M. Pritchard, Supdt. of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, for his services as Chief Supervisor of the Watchmen on the Fields in addition to his own duties, has been received by you ~~from the Mysore Govt~~ in Madras, Kolar, and if so, to ascertain when it will be forwarded to Govt.

~~As early reply will much oblige.~~

Yours Sincerely

26/7/08

Copied 31/7/08

To.
D. Devaraj Urs Esq.,
I. G. of Police in
Mysore

Police file no 80 of 08-09 ✓

Branch III

Please let me see the file J 3224

The Mundydroog Mine,

Oorgaum June 27th 1908.

Dear Mr. Ruthanna Chetty,

You are probably aware that Mr. A.M. Pritchard, who was last year appointed an Assistant Superintendent of Police under the Mysore Government, acted for a period of 1½ Months as Chief Supervisor of Watchmen, before his Successor Mr. A.C.C. Stevens relieved him.

In like manner after Mr. Stevens left, owing to the difficulty of finding a suitable Successor, Mr. Pritchard again acted in the same Capacity (on both occasions with the Knowledge and Consent of the Inspector General of Police) for a further period of 4 months, until the appointment of the present incumbent Mr. Arthur R. Jones, making altogether a Service of 5½ months in the interests of the Mining Companies, without Prejudice to the discharge of his duties as a Police Officer.

In this connection I wish to mention that at the time of the unfortunate disturbances between the Coolies and the Punjabis, - when he displayed conspicuous bravery and good judgment, - he not only acted as Police Supt. but also as the Chief Supervisor of Watchmen.

The Committee therefore, considered Mr. Pritchard entitled to some Special recognition for this valuable Services in that Capacity, viz: Supervision of Watchmen; and Messrs. John Taylor & Sons acting upon our recommendation voted him the Sum of £100. - Rs 1500

On the 18th Inst: Mr. C.B. Bennetts, Secretary to the Committee wrote Soliciting the necessary permission from the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore for Mr. Pritchard to receive this remuneration. This was forwarded through Mr. C. Srikantaiya Aiyer, the Deputy Commissioner who has recently intimated to Mr. Pritchard verbally that Government do not approve of this getting the money.

I hope however that the matter has not been finally disposed of, because as Messrs. John Taylor & Sons and the Committee will feel very disappointed, if the necessary Sanction is withheld.

No official communication in the matter having been received a D.O. was written to the D.P.S. Police

Police file no. 80-708-09 1/4

Branch III 8/7

Spl 8/7/09

CONFIDENTIAL 9/16

Bangalore,
6th July 1908.

My dear Mr. Balasundaram Iyer,

Your D.O. No.J.33 dated the 4th instant, regarding the remuneration to Mr. S. M. Pritchard, District Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, for his services as Chief Supervisor of the watchmen.

I have to state that no recommendation has been received in this office in the matter referred to.

Yours sincerely,

D Devanam

Bangalore

Police file no 89 ~~Secretary~~ Judicial 1908

Dear Mr Srikanteswara Iyer,

~~Dear~~ I enclose letter from Mr Richards re bonus to Mr Pritchard. The matter has not come up to Govt officially with your support. I have a hazy recollection of your having spoken to me about it

If you have no objection to the proposal made by the Mining authorities, you may address Govt. Kindly return enclosures

Yours sincerely

Sd H.S. Pulleyn Chetty

Confd

Champion Hotel
18 Aug 08

My dear Mr. Pattammal Chetty.

I delayed replying to yours of the 11 inst: till I had seen Mr Richards about the matter of the various [illegible] & his observations &c.

2) I saw him & explained to him the general principles & rule of service adopted - according remuneration to private tuitions & to objectionable & to those in the case of your Mr J

Mr. Pritchard's Remarks, at
how Distance of Micrometer
Object-Glass to be fixed
Object-Glass That Mirror ought
how to be placed. & Mr
Cummings for Small
Mirror for the Kind. Lyd
& by Mrs Jane Taylor Mrs
Cornish

3) As Saturn, observed &
Views he thought there
might be found a difference
in Mr Pritchard's Case, in as much

[illegible]

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 80 of 1908-09

Serial No. 1

From

The I.G.P. Police. no. 2798/280 Dt. 23.11.08

Requests sanction to the acceptance by S. M. Pritchard, Supdt. of Police, K.G.F., of an honorarium of Rs 1500 offered by Messrs. John Taylor and Sons for his services for a period of 4 1/2 months as Chief Supervisor of Watchmen in addition to his own duties.

The Dy. Comr., Kolar, recommends that Mr. Pritchard may be permitted to accept the honorarium as a special case and with a warning that such remunerations from private bodies will not be allowed to be accepted in future. Subd.

CR
K.T

The file relating to Mr. Pritchard's employment and the Rules regulating the emoluments of Europeans who are in the independent employ of the State are put up. These rules do not apply in the present case as Mr. Pritchard * was entertained before 1st April 08. If the I.G.'s recommendation be sanctioned the fact may perhaps be intimated to the Residency. Subd. for orders with a draft sanctioning.

13.5.8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 80 of 1908

4

Serial No.

Under Secy

SOK
2-12-08

It is against the ~~extra~~ general policy of Govt to permit its servants to undertake other work for remuneration. In the present case the remuneration offered viz 1500 Rs for 4½ months appears to be somewhat too liberal. The Dy Comm's D.O letter to the Govt in the file discusses the matter in these aspects & there is very little to add to what is stated therein. Mr Pritchard ~~he~~ however deserves well of the Mining Companies for his conduct during the riots of Dec last & that is apparently the chief consideration why the messrs Taylor & Sons want to recompense him suitably. In the special circumstances the recommendation of the Dy. may perhaps be accepted.

To Br[anch] for note before submitting to Council.

CSB
2/12/08

3/12

Revis. 374
08/9

Submitted
N 3/12

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

H misc 374
08/9

The recommendation is opposed to the general rules of Govt Service and the consideration of the existence of special features, if any, in the case which may justify a departure from the rules is more a departmental than a financial matter.

There is no allusion in the records to the existence of any exceptional circumstances in the case to warrant any special treatment. The sanction of Govt was not obtained for Mr Pritchard's taking service under the Companies and in the case of a Gazetted officer like Mr Pritchard, I think the sanction of Govt and not merely the consent of the Head of the Dept is necessary for taking service under a private body

Whether the work undertaken by Mr Pritchard was such as required to be attended to during the time which would otherwise be employed in the

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Service of Govt and whether the legitimate work of the Officer did not suffer by undertaking in addition the work of the Companies are material points on which the Comm.r of the Dy of Police is silent. Considering the fact that the Secretaries of Govt were not consulted to the arrangement and that it is desirable to discourage the acceptance of such services Govt officers in particular may not perhaps be permitted to take the remuneration offered by the Companies - Seeing that his whole time is found for by Govt and that a portion of such time was devoted for doing the work of the Companies, the amount payable by the latter may strictly be even claimable by Govt. It is a well recognised principle that an Officer in receipt of a salary from Govt for duties which afford or should afford him full

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

Serial No.

occupation can not take remuneration for extra work done for others on the ground that the work was done in hours which but for this extra work, would have been at the Officer's own disposal. Whether our Pritchards' work as Chief Superior of Watchmen has to be performed in hours other than those to be devoted to port work is not clear from the file. As already stated the consideration of these aspects of the proposal belongs to the Administrative Dept.

Asst Secy
11/12/18

I agree generally with the above note. I do not know whether it is for us to question the propriety of Messrs John Taylor & Sons' Committee employing one of the officers of this Govt in a regular appt on their establishment without the sanction of this Govt. As regards the officer himself his responsibility

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

ceased with his reporting to the Departmental head. The sanction of Govt not being obtained in such a matter is apt to cause an anomaly for which the Head of the Dept is responsible.

Art 52 M.S.R. & the corresponding article in the C.S.R. are generally applied to cases in which some canal or special work is done for the private body & not to cases in which a regular appt under such a body is held for some months.

It is the disastrous moral effect of allowing the acceptance of such fees that has led to make the rules in the C.S.R. on the subject being made so strict. Local Govt cannot sanction acceptance of fees above Rs 500/- but the case must be submitted to the Govt of India who accord the necessary sanction for very special reasons.

One effect of allowing such extra income is that the pay from Govt is apt to be treated by officers as a thing which comes as a matter of course and they are likely to be constantly on the look out for extra 'jobs' which may bring something additional.

But there are administrative points. The financial objection is that conditions of Art 52 M.S.R. cannot be held to have been fulfilled in this case for Mr Batchandi's appt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 80 of 1908

Serial No.

M mis 374-08

is no sinecure and Messrs John Taylor & Sons' Committee cannot be asked to pay a handsome remuneration for nothing.

JSR
11/12/08

Recd 11/12

Subd.
SW
17/12

Secy) SPL 12/12

I agree with the Principal Medical Branch, and think that it would be most inexpedient to sanction the acceptance of the remuneration offered by Messrs J. Taylor & Sons. It is wrong on principle, & likely to form an undesirable precedent.

Mrs
Mrs

2nd Councillor

18th Dec

Mr Pritchard's Case is different from that of Dr Sinnett or Mr Thomas

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

Serial No.

Mr Pritchard Cause to be
from the service of the
Mining Companies repre
sented by John Taylor &
Sons. He held the position
of Chief Superviser of Watch
men before he was appointed
Supdt of Police. One of his
duties as Supdt of Police
is to superintend the working
of the Watch Establishment
of the Mining Companies.
In the absence of the Chief
Superviser Mr Pritchard
appears to have worked
as Chief Superviser during
a period of 6½ months
This was owing to the diffi-
culty in getting a suitable
man to fill the post.
Mr Thomas Richards' letter
of 27th June 1908 explains
the matter fully.
Sanction may be given as
a special case to the accept
ance of the tenders
especially in view of the

page 2 (Office notes)

GPB—50,000—13-5 06

Serial No.

good services rendered by Mr Pritchard during the riots in his capacity as the Chief Superviser of Watchmen.

Both the Dy Commr & Dy Supt of Police recommend that sanction may be granted

18/12/08

Lottie Dewans

19th Dec

11309
19.12.08

Nothing on paper

GPB—50,000—18.5.06

G.R.S. 13

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Pol. File No. 8 of 1908.

The papers relating to the prohibition to accept such rewards in case of Sir Smeeth & Mr Thomas may be kept up.

Is the Standing order which prohibit the acceptance of such rewards any officer of Govt

See

20.1.09

Sir Smeeth

Secy Correspondent

20/1/09

20/1/09

Pol in 20/1/09

21/1/09

The files relating to Sir Smeeth & Mr Thomas have been put up.

As the file relating to Mr. Thomas is not traceable here the same may be sent to B & K for putting up it up if available.

B.G.
20.1.09

Subd to Under Secy and Dr

into the Durwan

SW
20/1/09

11679
20.1.09

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

Submitted to His Highness.

Paper under disposal

In his letter dated 23rd November 1908, the Inspector General of Police requests that Mr. S.M. Pritchard, Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields may be permitted to accept an honorarium of Rs.1,500 offered by Messrs. John Taylor & Sons' Committee for his services as Chief Supervisor of Watchmen, in addition to his own duties, for a period of 4½ months on two occasions.

(Collin Hayward R)
(PoL 7 102-06).

On the reversion of Mr. Thomas, formerly Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, to the Madras Service, Mr. Pritchard, who was at the time employed as Chief Superintendent of the Watch establishment under the Mines authorities was appointed Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, but it is stated that the Mines authorities were not able to secure at once a competent person to fill the former place, and that Mr. Pritchard was therefore allowed to carry on the duties of that appointment for 1½ months until a successor was appointed. When the place of Chief Superintendent of the Watch establishment again fell vacant, Mr. Pritchard looked after the duties of the appointment for a period of 3 months. It is stated that Mr. Pritchard took up these additional duties with the permission of Mr. Carr, the then Inspector General of Police, although the matter was not reported to Government. Messrs. John Taylor & Sons wish to reward Mr. Pritchard for his services on these two occasions.

The Deputy Commissioner was at first opposed

19

to Mr. Pritchard being permitted to accept the proposed honorarium. But in his letter dated 24th October 1908 to the Inspector General of Police, he says that he understands that the Committee would feel very disappointed if the permission asked for is not given and that "we may just as well accept Messrs. John Taylor & Sons' offer as a special case, and with a warning that such remuneration from private bodies will not be allowed to be accepted in future". The Inspector General of Police supports this recommendation and the Second Councillor is also of opinion that "sanction may be given as a special case to the acceptance of the honorarium, especially in view of the good services rendered by Mr. Pritchard during the riots in his capacity as the Chief Supervisor of Watch men".

Encl. 4 Papers under dis posal

(Officiating)

I am of opinion that it is wrong in principle to permit our officers to undertake work for private bodies and more so to allow them to accept honoraria for such work. In view however of the facts that the arrangement is reported to have received the approval of Mr. Carr, the then Inspector General, and that the Committee would feel disappointed if sanction to their proposals was withheld, I think that Mr. Pritchard may be permitted to accept the honorarium as a special case, with a warning that such remuneration from private bodies will not be allowed to be accepted in the future. I would suggest that the opinion of the Hon'ble the Resident may be taken before orders are passed.

10/11/1909.

U

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Permission to Mr.S.M.Pritchard, Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields ~~to~~ accept an honorarium of Rs 1,500/- offered by Messrs John Taylor and Sons Committee for his services as Chief Supervisor of Watchmen in addition to his own duties.

Order.

I consider that permission may be granted to Mr Pritchard to accept the honorarium offered. But it should be made clear that no Government officer can undertake additional duties for the benefit of private parties without the sanction of Government, and, that the Supt of Police, K.G.F. will not in future be permitted to accept honoraria from Messrs John Taylor & Son' Committee ~~in future~~. I do not think it is necessary to refer the matter to the Resident.

KRW
26/2/09

Serial No.	
	Subd. with a draft order. B.G. 12-3-09 20/3/09 Please put up a clean draft (at once) 9.3.09. [signature] Subd with a clean copy B.G. 9-3-09 9.3.09. [signature] Secretary.
	Issue [signature] 9/3/09
2	To The I.G. of Police, the Hon'ble Dep. & Dy. Comrs. of Dts. no. J 2634-73 Dt 11.3.09 Sanctions the acceptance of the honorarium by Mr. Pritchard as a special case & direct that no Govt. officer should undertake official duties for the benefit of private parties without the sanction of Govt. & that the Supdt. of Police K.G.F. should not accept honoraria from Messrs John Taylor & Sons Committee.
	Issue [signature] 10/3/09.

J 1326

file no. 80
sl. no. 1

No. 2798/280 & 2

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,
Camp Mysore,
Dated ~~Bangalore~~, 23 November 1908.

FROM

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Jeneral and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith for the information of Government, copies of D.O. dated 24th October 1908 and its enclosure, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, recommending the acceptance by Mr. Sidney. M. Pritchard, Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, of an honorarium of ₹ 1,500/- offered by Messrs. John Taylor and Sons' Committe, for his services for a period of 4½ months, as Chief Supervisor of Watchmen, in addition to his own duties.

I understand that the employment of Mr. Pritchard as such, had the consent of Mr. Carr. Article 52 of the Mysore Service Regulations, authorises the acceptance of such honorariums subject to sanction of Government.

I therefore request that you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government, for the acceptance of the -

2

honorarium by Mr. Sidney M. Pritchard.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Police.

Camp Goribidnur, 24th October 1908.

My dear friend.

Messrs. John Taylor & Sons' Committee have approached me with a request to obtain the sanction of Government, to permit Mr. S. M. Pritchard to accept a sum of Rs 1,500/- as an honorarium for having acted as Chief Supervisor of Watchmen, in addition to his own duties.

On Mr. Thomas' departure, Mr. Pritchard who was Chief Supervisor of Watchmen, was appointed Superintendent of Police, but owing to the Committee not securing the services of a prope man for the Chief Supervisor's place, Mr. Pritchard was allowed to look after the duties of the Chief Supervisor also. In like manner, Mr Pritchard acted as Chief Supervisor for another perio of three months from December 1907, of course with the consent of Mr. Carr. Mr. Thomas Richards, their Chairman, gives me to understand that the Committee would feel very disappointed were we not to permit the remuneration being accepted, seeing that it was for over 4½ months that Mr. Pritchard was overworked without any extra remuneration for his dual onerous duties.

Under these circumstances, in as much as Government themselves, may grant an extra allowance for such multiple duties under Article 50 or 52 of the Mysore Service Regulations it seems to me that we may just as well accept Messrs. John Taylor & Sons' offer as a special case, and with a warning that such remunerations from private bodies will not be allowed to be accepted in future.

The Committee's letter dated the 18th May 1908, making the formal application is herein enclosed for reference and obliging return and to enable you to obtain the approval of Government.

"Copy"

Yours very sincerely,

(Sd) C. Sreekanteswara Aiyar.

Inspector-Genl: of Police

Messrs. John Taylor & Sons' Committee,
Oorgaum, Province of Mysore, India
18th May 1908.

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District, Champion Reefs.

Sir,

Watchmen's Establishment.
Honorarium for Mr. Sidney M. Pritchard.

I have the honour to inform you that Messrs John Taylor and Sons, on the recommendation of their Committee, have very kindly authorised the payment of the sum of ₹1.500/- as an honorarium to Mr. Sidney M. Pritchard for having acted as Chief Supervisor af Watchmen, without prejudice to his own duties, from the middle of December to the end of April last, provided in the first place that the necessary sanction be obtained from the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore to the acceptance of the above amount by Mr. Pritchard.

I have, therefore, the honour to request that you will be good enough to communicate accordingly with Government at your early convenience and to favour me with their decision in the matter in due course.

The Committee trust that you will be able to give their recommendation your hearty support.

Thanking you in anticipation.

I have &c.,
(Sd) C. B. Bennets.
Secretary and Tresurer.

" C O P Y "

Inspector-General of Police.

5

3 copies

G.R.S/28]

File No. 80
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, the 190

Date of issue

Signed by

READ.—

Letter no. 2798/280 & the 23rd Nov 1908 from the I. G. of Police in Mysore, requesting sanction to the acceptance by Mr. S. M. Pitchard, Superintendent of Police, K. G. Fields, of an honorarium of Rs 1,500

ORDER THEREON.—~~No.~~

offered by Messrs John Taylor and Sons for his services as Chief Supervisor of the Companies' Watchmen for a period of 4½ months without prejudice to his duties as Superintendent of Police

over

SUBJECT

MGCPB—20,000—24-2-05

6

Order thereon J 2634-73 / Police 80-08-2 9-11-3-09

Permission is granted to Mr. Pritchard to accept the honorarium offered as a special case. It should, however, be clearly understood that no Govt. officer can undertake additional duties for the benefit of private parties without the sanction of Govt. and that the Supdt of Police K.G. Fields, will not in future be permitted to accept honoraria from Messrs John Taylor & Sons Committee.

Print

SH
For Secretary

To: 1. The D.G. of Police in Mysore
2 " Heads of other Depts
3 " Dy. Comm^rs of Dts.

(I suppose only Extract need be printed and Circulated – omitting the portion relating to grant of Sanction to Mr Pritchard). SH

Honble Order may be passed.

BG. 9-3-09

Cpd - 3 only
MSM 10/3

Cpd MN. 10/3

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Judicial and Legislative (Police), dated 11th March 1909.

READ—

Letter No. 2798—280, dated the 23rd November 1908, from the Inspector-General of Police in Mysore, requesting sanction to the acceptance by Mr. S. M. Pritchard, Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, of an honorarium of Rs. 1,500 offered by Messrs. John Taylor & Sons for his services as Chief Supervisor of the Companies' watchmen for a period of 4½ months without prejudice his duties as Superintendent of Police.

No. J. 2634-73—POLICE 80-08-2, DATED BANGALORE, 11TH MARCH 1909.

ORDER THEREON.—Permission is granted to Mr. Pritchard to accept the *honorarium* offered as a special case. It should, however, be clearly understood that no Government officer can undertake additional duties for the benefit of private parties without the sanction of Government and that the Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, will not, in future, be permitted to accept *honoraria* from Messrs. John Taylor & Sons Committee.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.
The Heads of other Departments.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. R.

1908-09

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE
(POLICE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 11th March 1909

No. J. 2634-73
Police 80-08-2

Granting permission, as a special case, to Mr. S. M. Pritchard, Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, to accept an honorarium of Rs. 1,500 offered by Messrs. John Taylor & Sons for his services as Chief Supervisor of the Companies' watchmen, and ordering that no Government officer should undertake additional duties for the benefit of private parties without the sanction of Government.

GPB—23-3-09—300